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Modern factorization algorithms:
■ Pollard's $\rho, p-1$

- Lenstra's elliptic curve method
- Quadratic sieve
- Number field sieve
- Shor's algorithm (quantum) - first general polynomial
- The 4p-1 method - Qi Cheng (2002)
- very fast, but special assumptions
- interesting as a backdoor
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- if $\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right|=p$ and $P \in E\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)$, then the computation of $N \cdot P$ usually fails
- this is because $\psi_{N}(x)$ is invertible modulo $q$, but not modulo $p$
- thus we can recover $p=\operatorname{gcd}\left(N, \psi_{N}(x)\right)$
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## Complex multiplication (CM)

How to find $E$ such that $\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right|=p$ ?

■ ECs over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ are classified by their $j$-invariant (up to twists)

- if the $j$-invariant of $E$ is a root of the $-D$-th Hilbert class polynomial $H_{-D}(x) \bmod p$, then $\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right|=p+1 \pm t$, where $4 p=t^{2}+D s^{2}$
- thus if $4 p-1=D s^{2}$ and $H_{-D}(j(E)) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, then $\left|E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right|=p$ in one half of cases
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## An outline of the algorithm

Suppose that we know that $4 p-1=D s^{2}$ for some $s, D \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $D$ is known. Ideally, we would like to do the following:

1 construct $H_{-D}$,
2 find a root $j_{0}$ of $H_{-D}$ modulo $p$,
3 construct $E_{j_{0}}$,
4 find a point $P=(x, y)$ on $E_{j 0}$,
5 try to compute $N \cdot P$ (or just $\left.\psi_{N}(x)\right)$,
6 if the computation of $N \cdot P$ does not fail, go back to step 3) and replace $E_{j_{0}}$ with its twist,
7 if the computation of $N \cdot P$ fails, compute a factor of $N$ as $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\psi_{N}(x), N\right)$.
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- obstacle for solving nonlinear congruences modulo $p$
- solution: replace $\mathbb{Z}_{N}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_{N}[x] / H_{-D}(x)$ and compute symbolically
- not clear how to find the point $P$ and the correct twist
- solution: probabilistic guessing

Computation of $H_{-D}$ :

- complicated, roughly exponential in $D$
- current record: $D \approx 2^{53}$
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- for random primes $p$, the condition $4 p-1=D s^{2}$ with small $D$ is extermely rare
- only $\frac{1}{\sqrt{X}}$ of primes $p<X$ satisfy it
- still could serve as an interesting backdoor (e.g., on black-box devices)
- generation of vulnerable primes for given $D$ is easy
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## Disdvantages:

- easy to detect from private keys if the same $D$ is reused or for short keys (< 1280 bits)
- an unpredictable unique $D$ for each keypair can be problematic
- if $D$ is leaked, anyone can perform the factorization
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Inquirer scenarios:

1 public keys only - need to guess
2 short private keys ( $<768$ bits) - a direct factorization reveals the backdoor

3 many private keys - batch GCD reveals the backdoor if $D$ is not unique per keypair
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- access to private keys, keylengths 512,1024,2048 bits
- Scenario 2:
- random selection of 5000512 -bit keys and 100 1024-bit keys
- square-free parts of $4 p-1$ and $4 q-1$ computed, all large enough
- Scenario 3:
- all 44.7M keys (including 2048-bit) used
- batch GCD used for all $4 p-1$ and $4 q-1$, as well as the product of "small" D's
- no small square-free parts found
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Main contributions:

- method simplified and better analyzed, faster than claimed and asymptotically determinisitic
- public implementation, many experimental evaluations
- discussion of backdoor viability and possible scenarios
- 44.7M keys analyzed, no backdoors found
- main result: an attacker would need unique $D$ 's, but the backdoor presence cannot be ruled out for longer keys (such as 2048 bits)


## Thank you for your attention.

All data and implementation are publicly available at https://crocs.fi.muni.cz/public/papers/Secrypt2019.

