I Want to Break Square-free: The 4p - 1Factorization Method and Its RSA Backdoor Viability

SECRYPT 2019

Vladimír Sedláček

Dušan Klinec Marek Sýs Petr Švenda Vashek Matyáš

vlada.sedlacek@mail.muni.cz

CR[©]CS

Centre for Research on Cryptography and Security

> Masaryk University Brno, Czech Republic





- 1 Motivation and the basic idea
- 2 Complex multiplication (CM)
- 3 The algorithm and its bottlenecks
- 4 Practical evaluation
- 5 Audit of keys







- Factorization of integers an old and well studied problem
- RSA depends on its infeasibility



- Factorization of integers an old and well studied problem
- RSA depends on its infeasibility

- Pollard's $\rho, p-1$
- Lenstra's elliptic curve method
- Quadratic sieve
- Number field sieve
- Shor's algorithm (quantum) first general polynomial



- Factorization of integers an old and well studied problem
- RSA depends on its infeasibility

- Pollard's $\rho, p-1$
- Lenstra's elliptic curve method
- Quadratic sieve
- Number field sieve
- Shor's algorithm (quantum) first general polynomial
- The 4p 1 method Qi Cheng (2002)



- Factorization of integers an old and well studied problem
- RSA depends on its infeasibility

- Pollard's $\rho, p-1$
- Lenstra's elliptic curve method
- Quadratic sieve
- Number field sieve
- Shor's algorithm (quantum) first general polynomial
- The 4p 1 method Qi Cheng (2002)
 - very fast, but special assumptions



- Factorization of integers an old and well studied problem
- RSA depends on its infeasibility

- Pollard's $\rho, p-1$
- Lenstra's elliptic curve method
- Quadratic sieve
- Number field sieve
- Shor's algorithm (quantum) first general polynomial
- The 4p 1 method Qi Cheng (2002)
 - very fast, but special assumptions
 - interesting as a backdoor



• N = pq, where p, q > 3 are distinct primes

•
$$N = pq$$
, where $p, q > 3$ are distinct primes

• an elliptic curve E over \mathbb{Z}_N : given by

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{N},$$

forms an abelian group

• an elliptic curve E over \mathbb{Z}_N : given by

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{N},$$

forms an abelian group

• we have $E(\mathbb{Z}_N) \cong E(\mathbb{F}_p) \oplus E(\mathbb{F}_q)$

•
$$N = pq$$
, where $p, q > 3$ are distinct primes

• an elliptic curve E over \mathbb{Z}_N : given by

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{N},$$

forms an abelian group

• we have
$$E(\mathbb{Z}_N) \cong E(\mathbb{F}_p) \oplus E(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

if |E(𝔽_p)| = p, multiplication by N annihilates the first summand, which reveals p



$$k \cdot P = \left(\frac{\phi_k(x)}{\psi_k^2(x)}, \frac{\omega_k(x, y)}{\psi_k^3(x, y)}\right)$$

for all P = (x, y) on E.



$$k \cdot P = \left(\frac{\phi_k(x)}{\psi_k^2(x)}, \frac{\omega_k(x, y)}{\psi_k^3(x, y)}\right)$$

for all P = (x, y) on E.

• if $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)| = p$ and $P \in E(\mathbb{Z}_N)$, then the computation of $N \cdot P$ usually fails



$$k \cdot P = \left(\frac{\phi_k(x)}{\psi_k^2(x)}, \frac{\omega_k(x, y)}{\psi_k^3(x, y)}\right)$$

for all P = (x, y) on E.

- if $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)| = p$ and $P \in E(\mathbb{Z}_N)$, then the computation of $N \cdot P$ usually fails
- this is because $\psi_N(x)$ is invertible modulo q, but not modulo p



$$k \cdot P = \left(\frac{\phi_k(x)}{\psi_k^2(x)}, \frac{\omega_k(x, y)}{\psi_k^3(x, y)}\right)$$

for all P = (x, y) on E.

- if $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)| = p$ and $P \in E(\mathbb{Z}_N)$, then the computation of $N \cdot P$ usually fails
- this is because $\psi_N(x)$ is invertible modulo q, but not modulo p
- thus we can recover $p = \gcd(N, \psi_N(x))$





• ECs over \mathbb{F}_p are classified by their *j*-invariant (up to twists)



• ECs over \mathbb{F}_p are classified by their *j*-invariant (up to twists)

if the *j*-invariant of *E* is a root of the -D-th Hilbert class polynomial $H_{-D}(x) \mod p$, then $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)| = p + 1 \pm t$, where $4p = t^2 + Ds^2$



• ECs over \mathbb{F}_p are classified by their *j*-invariant (up to twists)

- if the *j*-invariant of *E* is a root of the -D-th Hilbert class polynomial $H_{-D}(x) \mod p$, then $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)| = p + 1 \pm t$, where $4p = t^2 + Ds^2$
- thus if $4p 1 = Ds^2$ and $H_{-D}(j(E)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)| = p$ in one half of cases



Suppose that we know that $4p - 1 = Ds^2$ for some $s, D \in \mathbb{Z}$, where D is known.



1 construct H_{-D} ,

Suppose that we know that $4p - 1 = Ds^2$ for some $s, D \in \mathbb{Z}$, where D is known. Ideally, we would like to do the following:

- **1** construct H_{-D} ,
- **2** find a root j_0 of H_{-D} modulo p,

Suppose that we know that $4p - 1 = Ds^2$ for some $s, D \in \mathbb{Z}$, where D is known. Ideally, we would like to do the following:

- **1** construct H_{-D} ,
- **2** find a root j_0 of H_{-D} modulo p,
- **3** construct E_{j_0} ,

Suppose that we know that $4p - 1 = Ds^2$ for some $s, D \in \mathbb{Z}$, where D is known. Ideally, we would like to do the following:

- **1** construct H_{-D} ,
- **2** find a root j_0 of H_{-D} modulo p,
- 3 construct E_{j0},
- 4 find a point P = (x, y) on E_{j_0} ,

- **1** construct H_{-D} ,
- **2** find a root j_0 of H_{-D} modulo p,
- 3 construct E_{j0},
- 4 find a point P = (x, y) on E_{j_0} ,
- **5** try to compute $N \cdot P$ (or just $\psi_N(x)$),

- **1** construct H_{-D} ,
- **2** find a root j_0 of H_{-D} modulo p,
- 3 construct E_{j0},
- 4 find a point P = (x, y) on E_{j_0} ,
- **5** try to compute $N \cdot P$ (or just $\psi_N(x)$),
- 6 if the computation of $N \cdot P$ does not fail, go back to step 3) and replace E_{j_0} with its twist,

- **1** construct H_{-D} ,
- **2** find a root j_0 of H_{-D} modulo p,
- 3 construct E_{j0},
- 4 find a point P = (x, y) on E_{j_0} ,
- **5** try to compute $N \cdot P$ (or just $\psi_N(x)$),
- 6 if the computation of $N \cdot P$ does not fail, go back to step 3) and replace E_{j_0} with its twist,
- **7** if the computation of $N \cdot P$ fails, compute a factor of N as $gcd(\psi_N(x), N)$.



obstacle for solving nonlinear congruences modulo p



- obstacle for solving nonlinear congruences modulo p
- solution: replace \mathbb{Z}_N by $\mathbb{Z}_N[x]/H_{-D}(x)$ and compute symbolically



- obstacle for solving nonlinear congruences modulo p
- solution: replace \mathbb{Z}_N by $\mathbb{Z}_N[x]/H_{-D}(x)$ and compute symbolically
- not clear how to find the point P and the correct twist



- obstacle for solving nonlinear congruences modulo p
- solution: replace \mathbb{Z}_N by $\mathbb{Z}_N[x]/H_{-D}(x)$ and compute symbolically
- not clear how to find the point P and the correct twist
- solution: probabilistic guessing



- obstacle for solving nonlinear congruences modulo p
- solution: replace \mathbb{Z}_N by $\mathbb{Z}_N[x]/H_{-D}(x)$ and compute symbolically
- not clear how to find the point P and the correct twist
- solution: probabilistic guessing

Computation of H_{-D} :

• complicated, roughly exponential in D



- obstacle for solving nonlinear congruences modulo p
- solution: replace \mathbb{Z}_N by $\mathbb{Z}_N[x]/H_{-D}(x)$ and compute symbolically
- not clear how to find the point P and the correct twist
- solution: probabilistic guessing

Computation of H_{-D} :

- complicated, roughly exponential in D
- current record: $D \approx 2^{53}$







The improved (probabilistic) algorithm is polynomial in N, but exponential in D (the squarefree part of 4p - 1).



The improved (probabilistic) algorithm is polynomial in N, but exponential in D (the squarefree part of 4p - 1).

Current record for D = 11 (with our custom implementation on a single core):

- RSA 4096-bit moduli factored in around 150 seconds
- RSA 2048-bit moduli factored in under 10 seconds



The improved (probabilistic) algorithm is polynomial in N, but exponential in D (the squarefree part of 4p - 1).

Current record for D = 11 (with our custom implementation on a single core):

- RSA 4096-bit moduli factored in around 150 seconds
- RSA 2048-bit moduli factored in under 10 seconds





■ for random primes p, the condition 4p - 1 = Ds² with small D is extermely rare



■ for random primes *p*, the condition 4*p* − 1 = *Ds*² with small *D* is extermely rare

• only
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{X}}$$
 of primes $p < X$ satisfy it



■ for random primes *p*, the condition 4*p* − 1 = *Ds*² with small *D* is extermely rare

• only
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{X}}$$
 of primes $p < X$ satisfy it

 still could serve as an interesting backdoor (e.g., on black-box devices)



■ for random primes *p*, the condition 4*p* − 1 = *Ds*² with small *D* is extermely rare

• only
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{X}}$$
 of primes $p < X$ satisfy it

- still could serve as an interesting backdoor (e.g., on black-box devices)
- generation of vulnerable primes for given D is easy



Advantages:

works for all key lengths



Advantages:

- works for all key lengths
- no observable bias for backdoored keys



Advantages:

- works for all key lengths
- no observable bias for backdoored keys
- adjustable factorization difficulty, favorable ratio

CRŵCS

Advantages:

- works for all key lengths
- no observable bias for backdoored keys
- adjustable factorization difficulty, favorable ratio
- possible parallelizability

Advantages:

- works for all key lengths
- no observable bias for backdoored keys
- adjustable factorization difficulty, favorable ratio
- possible parallelizability

Disdvantages:

easy to detect from private keys if the same D is reused or for short keys (< 1280 bits)</p>

Advantages:

- works for all key lengths
- no observable bias for backdoored keys
- adjustable factorization difficulty, favorable ratio
- possible parallelizability

Disdvantages:

- easy to detect from private keys if the same D is reused or for short keys (< 1280 bits)
- an unpredictable unique D for each keypair can be problematic

Advantages:

- works for all key lengths
- no observable bias for backdoored keys
- adjustable factorization difficulty, favorable ratio
- possible parallelizability

Disdvantages:

- easy to detect from private keys if the same D is reused or for short keys (< 1280 bits)
- an unpredictable unique *D* for each keypair can be problematic
- if D is leaked, anyone can perform the factorization



Inquirer scenarios:

1 public keys only - need to guess



Inquirer scenarios:

- 1 public keys only need to guess
- **2** short private keys (< 768 bits) a direct factorization reveals the backdoor



Inquirer scenarios:

- 1 public keys only need to guess
- short private keys (< 768 bits) a direct factorization reveals the backdoor
- many private keys batch GCD reveals the backdoor if D is not unique per keypair



44.7 million RSA keypairs generated by 15 smartcards and 3 HSMs



- 44.7 million RSA keypairs generated by 15 smartcards and 3 HSMs
- access to private keys, keylengths 512,1024,2048 bits



- 44.7 million RSA keypairs generated by 15 smartcards and 3 HSMs
- access to private keys, keylengths 512,1024,2048 bits
- Scenario 2:
 - random selection of 5000 512-bit keys and 100 1024-bit keys



- 44.7 million RSA keypairs generated by 15 smartcards and 3 HSMs
- access to private keys, keylengths 512,1024,2048 bits
- Scenario 2:
 - random selection of 5000 512-bit keys and 100 1024-bit keys
 - square-free parts of 4p 1 and 4q 1 computed, all large enough



- 44.7 million RSA keypairs generated by 15 smartcards and 3 HSMs
- access to private keys, keylengths 512,1024,2048 bits
- Scenario 2:
 - random selection of 5000 512-bit keys and 100 1024-bit keys
 - square-free parts of 4*p* − 1 and 4*q* − 1 computed, all large enough
- Scenario 3:
 - all 44.7M keys (including 2048-bit) used

July 27, 2019 14 / 15



- 44.7 million RSA keypairs generated by 15 smartcards and 3 HSMs
- access to private keys, keylengths 512,1024,2048 bits
- Scenario 2:
 - random selection of 5000 512-bit keys and 100 1024-bit keys
 - square-free parts of 4p 1 and 4q 1 computed, all large enough
- Scenario 3:
 - all 44.7M keys (including 2048-bit) used
 - batch GCD used for all 4*p* − 1 and 4*q* − 1, as well as the product of "small" *D*'s

July 27, 2019 14 / 15



- 44.7 million RSA keypairs generated by 15 smartcards and 3 HSMs
- access to private keys, keylengths 512,1024,2048 bits
- Scenario 2:
 - random selection of 5000 512-bit keys and 100 1024-bit keys
 - square-free parts of 4p 1 and 4q 1 computed, all large enough
- Scenario 3:
 - all 44.7M keys (including 2048-bit) used
 - batch GCD used for all 4*p* − 1 and 4*q* − 1, as well as the product of "small" *D*'s
 - no small square-free parts found

July 27, 2019 14 / 15



Main contributions:

 method simplified and better analyzed, faster than claimed and asymptotically determinisitic



- method simplified and better analyzed, faster than claimed and asymptotically determinisitic
- public implementation, many experimental evaluations



- method simplified and better analyzed, faster than claimed and asymptotically determinisitic
- public implementation, many experimental evaluations
- discussion of backdoor viability and possible scenarios



- method simplified and better analyzed, faster than claimed and asymptotically determinisitic
- public implementation, many experimental evaluations
- discussion of backdoor viability and possible scenarios
- 44.7M keys analyzed, no backdoors found



- method simplified and better analyzed, faster than claimed and asymptotically determinisitic
- public implementation, many experimental evaluations
- discussion of backdoor viability and possible scenarios
- 44.7M keys analyzed, no backdoors found
- main result: an attacker would need unique D's, but the backdoor presence cannot be ruled out for longer keys (such as 2048 bits)



Main contributions:

- method simplified and better analyzed, faster than claimed and asymptotically determinisitic
- public implementation, many experimental evaluations
- discussion of backdoor viability and possible scenarios
- 44.7M keys analyzed, no backdoors found
- main result: an attacker would need unique D's, but the backdoor presence cannot be ruled out for longer keys (such as 2048 bits)

Thank you for your attention.

All data and implementation are publicly available at https://crocs.fi.muni.cz/public/papers/Secrypt2019.